Aripiprazol Versus Quetiapine in Treatment of Non-affective Acute Psychosis: A Double-Blind, Randomized-Controlled Clinical Trial
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Abstract: Objective: The primary objective of this study was to assess the efficacy of Quetiapine versus Aripiprazol in treating non-affective acute psychosis. Methods: This study is double blind, randomized-controlled clinical trial (RCT). A total number of 90 hospitalized patients with the diagnosis of acute psychosis were selected. The patients were treated with Quetiapine (mean: 500 mg/day) or Aripiprazol (mean: 20 mg/day), in a 4 weeks period. The positive and negative symptoms scale (PANSS) and clinical global impression severity scale (CGIS-s) were used in the first and the last day of trial. Results: This study reveals that both of these drugs can substantially reduce the severity of symptoms in acute psychosis. Aripiprazol reduced positive symptoms and general symptoms scores and total scores more than Quetiapine. Differences in reducing negative scores were not significant and both drugs had the same therapeutic effect. In this sense, there was no difference in reducing negative symptoms in acute psychosis between Aripiprazol and Quetiapine. Conclusion: According to some differences with similar studies, study on larger sample pool and in longer period in future researches can be advised.
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1. Introduction

Psychosis is a mental state spectrum accompanied by impairment of thoughts, emotional responses and interactions. This group of disorders often interfere the ability of reality testing. Classic features of psychosis include hallucination and delusion [1]. Suitable and impeccable timing in treatment is associated with enhanced clinical response and hinders severity of this malady [2]. A revolution conceived by chlorpromazine for treating psychosis in 1952 [3]. Second generation antipsychotics (SGAs) have been available since 1990. These drugs are serotonin-dopamine receptors antagonist. The first drug of this group was Clozapine, Resperidone, Olanzapine, Quetiapine, Ziprasidone and Aripiprazole were produced later [4]. Second Generation Antipsychotics may considered more effective comparing to First Generation Antipsychotics (FGAs) in controlling positive and negatives symptoms of psychosis. Admittedly, they may have less detrimental effects and using these drugs may decrease the number of drug resistant patients. Furthermore, they may decrease the relapse rate and long-term hospitalization [4]. The evidence regarding best option among antipsychotics in treatment of psychotic disorders is limited. The objective of this study was to compare the efficacy of Quetiapine versus Aripiprazole in treatment of non-affective psychosis. Hopefully, the results would help clinicians to implement proper therapeutic approach. Very few RCTs have compared Quetiapine with Aripiprazole.
among adults in Iran. Regarding uprising trend in production and using of SGAs in the recent years and contradictory results of different studies, we decided to compare the efficacy of these two drugs in treatment of acute phase of psychosis.

2. Materials and Methods

This study is double blind, randomized-controlled clinical trial (RCT). Patients in a psychiatric Hospital (Sari, Iran) \((n=100)\) were randomly assigned to 2 groups (Aripiprazole, Quetiapine) for 4 weeks intervention period with Quetiapine and Aripiprazole. We selected Quetiapine and Aripiprazole since these drugs are frequently used in psychiatric settings, and because it is unclear whether their different receptor binding profiles can be related to difference clinical outcomes.

Inclusion criteria: 1- adults aged 18-50 years, both sexes (matched for ages & sexes); 2- inpatients in psychiatric Hospital; 3- meeting the criteria for DSM-5 psychosis diagnoses (non-organic, non-drug-induced): Schizophrenia, delusional disorders, shared delusional disorders, Schizoaffective disorders; 4-clinical indication for antipsychotic treatment; 5- presence of psychotic symptoms scoring ≥4 on at least one of the following PANSS items: delusions, conceptual disorganization, hallucinations, grandiosity, suspiciousness/persecution, or unusual thought content; as well as a total PANSS score >60 points; 6- antipsychotic-naïve or limited exposure (no use antipsychotics orally 6 weeks prior to evaluation and regarding long acting antipsychotics this period defined as 8 weeks) 7- written informed consent by caretakers (Regarding the type of the illness and its acute phase, written consent by patients was useless legally and morally. Instead, the process of the study explained for the parents or caretakers and consent by them).

Exclusion criteria: 1- drug-induced or organic psychosis; 2- severe chronic somatic and neurological illness or a history of severe head trauma (based on physical and neurological examinations at the first day) and abnormal laboratory tests (CBC, FBS, BUN, Creatinine, ALT, AST, Alkaline Phosphatase, U/A and also ECG); 3- pregnancy or lactation; 4- substance dependence ( based on DSM-5 and laboratory tests) within the last year; 5- Consuming other antipsychotic drugs even in PRN situation, 6- Electro Convulsive therapy (ECT) based on attending physician order; 7-Prescribing any drugs except Aripiprazol and Quetiapine during hospitalization. This study was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki & good clinical practice according to international conference on harmonization guidelines.

The interventions are blinded to participants, caregivers, statisticians, and conclusion drawers.

The maximum doses are Quetiapine 800 mg/day vs Aripiprazole 30 mg/day. However, if needed, dosing is flexible (Quetiapine: 100-800 mg/day; Aripiprazole 5-30 mg/day). Beneficial and harmful effects were assessed at two time points during the intervention period (weeks 2, 4).

G-Power software was used and considering potency of 80, sample size was 90. Regarding to probability of exclusion for any reason and due to unpredicted problems during the study, 141 patients had been chosen for study. The patients were divided in two groups using random numbers nature. Excel software and Rand between function were also used. The primary outcome is positive symptoms measured on the PANSS scale. Clinical interview for all patients by a psychiatrist and also CGI scale [5] was used in order to assess the severity of the illness. Positive and negative symptoms scale (PANSS) was used to specify the severity of negative and positive and general psychiatric symptoms. The latter scales include 7 negative symptoms, 7 positive symptoms and 14 general psychopathologic symptoms. Each symptom scored between 0-7 based on severity. Clinicians widely in researches with respect to antipsychotic drugs use this scale. Ghamari and colleagues confirmed validity and reliability of this Scale in Iranian population pool [6].

Each group of patients, were under Aripiprazol or Quetiapine treatment. In this study first drug (Aripiprazol) was chosen from Jam Pharmaceutical Company and the second (Quetiapine) was produced by Jam Co. Initial dosage of neuroleptic drugs in common situation based on researches could be 2.5 mg for Aripiprazol and 50 mg for Quetiapine Per-day [7]. The initial dosage was 5 mg for Aripiprazol and 100 mg for Quetiapine group due the acute situation of the patients in this study. Maximum daily dosage was 30 mg for Aripiprazol and 800 mg for Quetiapine [7]. At the end of week 4, mean dosages of drug for each patient were measured. Based on statistical survey prior to this study, the average duration of hospitalization period was 27 days, so and we measured the dosage of drugs after week 4.

At the end of the study, participants were assessed again by PANSS and CGI scales. Furthermore Electrocardiography (ECG), blood pressure, pulse, body mass index, abdominal circumference, laboratory test results were assessed.

Data were analyzed by SPSS software version 19. In order to analyze data, for quantitative variables such as age and PANSS, descriptive statistics method such as Mean ± standard deviation (SD) was used and for qualitative variables, distribution frequency chart was used. In order to compare the effectiveness of the drugs we used Analyze of Variance with repetitive measurement of Chi-square and one-way Analyze of Variance with Bonferoni post-test. If groups were not the same in terms of cofounding variables, Generalized Estimated Equations (GEE) method was used. P value less than 0.05 were defined as significant in this study.

3. Results

One hundred forty one patients were included in this study. 7 patients discharged before 4 weeks were excluded from our study. 15 patients needed ECT according to comments of
psychosis. Aripiprazole reduced PANSS in positive symptoms and general symptoms scores and total scores more than Quetiapine in acute psychosis. Differences in reducing negative scores were not significant and both drugs had the same therapeutic effects. It means there was no difference in reducing negative symptoms in acute psychosis between Aripiprazole and Quetiapine.

Misso and colleagues (2013) reported Aripiprazole with potentiate treatment in nonresponders to Quetiapine can provide relevant information on the effectiveness of Aripiprazole in clinical practice [8]. Different from current study, their study conducted among patients with bipolar disorder. In another study conducted by Jin and colleagues (2013) lack of significant difference in improvement in psychopathology for all atypical antipsychotics have been specified. Above means we used higher dose of Aripiprazol in this study.

Table 2. Age & Sex Distribution in Aripiprazol and Quetiapine Groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Aripiprazol</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quetiapine</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Aripiprazol</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quetiapine</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.478</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this Study, mean dosage for Risperidone was 14.6 mg and mean dosage for Quetiapine was 509 mg. 100 mg chlorpromazine is equivalent to 5 mg Aripiprazol and 75 mg Quetiapine. Hence, 436 mg for Aripiprazol with equivalent dose of Chlorpromazine and 664 mg for Quetiapine was specified. Above means we used higher dose of Aripiprazol in this study.

Two groups had no statistically meaningful difference in relation with CGI-s scores from start point to the end of this study (p<0.001) but effectiveness of both drugs in reducing CGI-s was meaningful. In PANSS sub-scores (General/Positive/Negative), there were no meaningful difference at baseline. At the end of week 4, no statistically difference in reducing positive and negative symptoms observed between Aripiprazol and Quetiapine groups whereas differences between two groups were significant regarding positive symptoms and general symptoms (Table 2). It means Aripiprazol was more effective than Quetiapine in reducing positive and general symptom scores of PANSS in acute phase of psychosis. Furthermore, the difference between two groups in total scores was statistically meaningful (Table 2) and this confirms superior effectiveness of Aripiprazol over Quetiapine in treating acute phase of psychosis.

4. Discussion

In this double blind randomized controlled study, we examined the efficacy of Aripiprazol versus Quetiapine in treatment of acute psychosis. This study revealed that both of these drugs are efficient in reducing severity of acute psychosis. Aripiprazole reduced PANSS in positive symptoms and general symptoms scores and total scores more than Quetiapine in acute psychosis. Differences in reducing negative scores were not significant and both drugs had the same therapeutic effects. It means there was no difference in reducing negative symptoms in acute psychosis between Aripiprazole and Quetiapine.

Limitation

1 The trial was with patients undergoing acute treatment (phase I). Potentiation (phase II) and maintenance (phase III) (long-term treatment) is an issue of great
importance and should be evaluated further through more in-depth studies given that psychosis is a chronic disease.

2 It is advisable to conduct a study in larger sample pool and in longer period in future researches.
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